

APRIL 1989

GRAPE POWDERY MILDEW

Powdery mildew (<u>Uncinula necator</u>) is much less severe in Lake County than other north and central coastal districts or the San Joaquin Valley. In fact, many growers claim excellent control with 3 - 5 sulfur applications starting at 4 - 6" shoot growth. Ergosterol inhibitors, e.g. Bayleton, Rally, Rubigan, are used sparingly if at all. Exceptions to this generalization may be years of unusually mild temperatures through the growing season or when canopy management or irrigation practices buffer the fungus from ambient heat which normally inhibits survival.

Climate is the basic limiting factor. While other districts battle PM in the spring, we are frost protecting young shoots and even clusters. Although spores will germinate under a wide temperature range, optimal germination occurs at 77°F , and optimal fungal growth from $70-86^{\circ}\text{F}$. Spores and colonies are killed above 90°F . Lake County means swing relatively quickly from sub-optimal in the spring to above-optimal in the summer. The duration of ideal weather conditions vis-a-vis susceptible growth stages is narrow versus more prone districts.

Late summer temperatures may also play an added role. Dr. Doug Gubler, UC Extension Plant Pathologist, has confirmed that thickwalled fruiting bodies called cleistothecia are a major source of primary infections at budbreak. These spore-filled bodies overwinter in bark until spring rains or heavy dews trigger ascospore release onto buds and new shoots. In trials, the number of cleistothecia on vines during dormancy correlated positively with the percent of infected vines in the spring. Where it was hot in late summer, i.e. above 90°F for 3 days or more, the spores never matured to germinate in the spring. Since Lake County, due to this interior location, is more akin to warmer areas than the mildew-prone coast, we may begin each season with lower primary inoculum levels. To ultimately determine this, cleistothecia must be collected from vines and prunings to determine ascospore maturity.

How has this new information modified treatment recommendations? Trials in mildew-prone districts have shown reduced infection by applying wettable sulfur VERY EARLY at budbreak to 2" shoot

The University of California Cooperative Extension in compliance with the Civil Rights Acts of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 does not discriminate on the basis of race, creed, religion, color, national origin, sex, or mental or physical handicap in any of its programs or activities, or with respect to any of its employment policies, practices or procedures. The University of California does not discriminate on the basis of age, uncestry, sexual orientation, marital status, citizenship, nor because individuals are disabled or Vietnam era vetejans. Inquiries regarding this policy may be directed to the Affirmative Action Officer, Office of the Vice President, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 300 Lakeside Dr., 3th Floor, Dakland, CA 94612-3560.

growth kill primary inoculum, and continuing with sulfur (any form) at 7 - 10 day intervals to veraision. Growers with PM problems should heed this recommendation. The key is BUDBREAK TO 2" GROWTH WETTABLE SULFUR and vigilant follow-up.

As acreage and vineyard density of susceptible varieties increase (e.g. Cabernet sauvignon, Chardonnay), inoculum load will likely correspondingly increase over time. Local pear growers are well aware that a high density of a single crop in a relatively confined growing area raises the probability that the neighbors' problems become their problems. In the future, proper canopy management (i.e. thinner, more exposed) and irrigation practices, along with timely treatments, will be crucial to prevent disease build-up.

Details of PM biology and management are in <u>Grape Pest Management</u>, UCANR Publ. #4105 (\$25.00). Current control recommendations for <u>all</u> grape pests are in <u>Pest Management Guidelines</u> - <u>Grapes</u>, UCPMG Publ. #18 (\$1.35). Both are available from our office.

SPRING ZINC DEFICIENCY TREATMENTS

See June, July and November 1988 newsletters for further information on diagnosis and fall treatments.

CROP	MATERIAL/RATE	WHEN	
*GRAPES	4 lbs. 36% Zn sulfate + 3 lbs. spray lime/ 100 gal. water, 100 - 150 gpa.	-	2 - 3 weeks before bloom
PEARS	4 - 5 lbs. 50% basic Zr. sulfate/100 gal. 300 - 400 gpa. <u>OR</u> 2 lbs Zn EDTA/100 gal. <u>OR</u> 4 - 5 lbs. Zn oxide/100	· •	2 - 4 weeks after bloom on young leaves
WALNUTS	1 lbs. 36% Zn sulfate/ 100 gal. OR 2 lbs. Zn EDTA/ $\overline{100}$ gal.	,	just after bloom at about 6 - 10" shoot growth when leaves just turn green.
*spur-pruned vines respond to daubing fresh pruning rounds with 1 lb. 36% Zn sulfate/ 1 gal. water; 2 - 4 gpa.			Repeat 1 - 2 times at 2 - 3 week intervals if severe.

EFFECT OF FUNGICIDE SPRAYS ON PEAR SKIN RUSSET

The success Dr. Steve Lindow, Extension Specialist Jim Beutel and Mendocino County Farm Advisor Bruce Bearden had using fungicides to reduce russet-inducing bacteria experimentally prompted widespread use of Dithane M-45 last spring. Jim Beutel repeated several trials, including one in a russet-prone orchard in Upper Lake. He sprayed 5 times correlating with rain: April 8, 13, 20, 27 and May 10. There was significantly less russet at the 6 lb./acre rate of Dithane M-45. Other rates and materials showed no significant differences. In other areas, the lesser rate of 3 lbs./acre performed adequately. As with any other pesticide, growers should employ the least amount of material necessary to reduce russet. For a more detailed summary, see 1988 Report on Research Projects for California Bartlett Pears (contact me for a copy).

Lake County Russett Results in 1988

	Russetted Surface				
Treatments	Slight 0-2%	Medium 2-5%	Heavy 5%		
Control	10%	30%	50%		
Dithane 45 3 lbs/Ac	14%	33%	53%		
Dithane 45 6 lbs/Ac	35%	28%	37%		
Bayleton 2 oz/Ac	15%	26%	59%		
Ziram 76 6 lbs/Ac	19%	21%	60%		

1988 PEAR RESEARCH REPORTS

(i.e. YOU) sponsored \$62,000.00 worth of research Growers Researchers reported results at meetings in Sacramento and For those unable to attend, contact me for a Ukiah this winter. copy of 1988 Report on Research Projects for California on pest management: miticide focused Research miticides, fireblight/frost injury/russet resistance, new reduction using fungicides and antagonistic bacteria, codling moth control by mating disruption, "soft" pesticide programs (e. g. without Guthion), pear psylla control, and testing for codling Several reports include data from moth resistance to Guthion. County, an additional motivation to find out WHAT YOU PAID Lake FOR!

THE DROUGHT

You are all aware that total rainfall has been below normal so far this season. My only two immediate recommendations at this point are: 1) begin the season with as much stored water in the root system as possible, and 2) CONTROL WEEDS AND COVER CROPS!!! These are starting to grow rapidly right now and are consuming precious water, especially in young orchards and vineyards. For your information, here is the rainfall pattern for the past 55 years, courtesy of Ross Benson.

RAINFALL 1934 - 1989 BERSON RANCE

1934-35	27.34					1 961- 62	19.89		
1935-36	26.20					1962-63	31.28		
1936-37	19.97					1963-64	16.51		
1937-38	38.46					1 964- 65	30.41		
1938-39	13.18 (Kelsey	Creek reached	lake 12/10	0)	1965-66	20.01		
1939-40	27.82					1966-67	29.46		
1940-41	42.26 (1	Kelsey	Creek reached	lake 12/1	7)	1967–68	24.46		
1941-42	32.70 (1	Kelsey	Creek reached	lake 12/2		1968-69	33.27		
		ceased	flow 7/4)			1969-70	31.46		
1942-43	26.52 (1	Kelsey	Creek reached	lake 11/1	7,	1970-71	25.94		
		then ag	gain on 12/7,	ceased flo	w 6/2)	1971-72	16.03		
1943-44	18.82 (1	Kelsey	Creek reached	lake 1/2.		1972-73	32.77		
		ceased	flow 6/12)			1973-74	35.74		
1944-45	21.84 (Kelsey	Creek reached	d lake 12/2	.0,	1974-75	26.79		
		ceased	flow 6/12)			1975-76	9.84		
1945-46	18.83					1976-77	11.89		
1946-47	17.94					1977-78	34.73		
1947-48	22.13					1978-79	21.98		
1948-49	16.12					1979-80	31.55		
1949-50	17.14					1980-81	19.66		
1950-51	29.70					1981-82	39.24		
1951-52	30.96					**1982-83	44.96		
1952-53						(Kelsey	Creek c	eased	
						flow 7/	/21/83 r	eached	
1953-54	23.45					lake 8/	/31/83)		
1954-55	17.16					1983-84	33.73		
1955-56	37.39					1984-85	19.05		
1956-57	20.23					1985-86	36.05		
1957-58	39.94					1986-87	15.59		
1958-59	21.57					1987-88	22.44		
1959-60	31.59					1988-89	13.07	(Thru	3/13)
1960-61	21.43								
** RECO	RD YEAR								

Sincerely,

Rachel Elkins Farm Advisor Statistics courtesy of ROSS BENSON and Dept of Water Resources

Water Year: October - Septemeber